

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Security (PTOS)

FROM:
Chief of Operations (PTOS)

SUBJECT: APEX Manual (Industrial)

Charlie:

1. Two general observations:

a. The language is loose, unprecise in many paragraphs (I wonder if OGC has reviewed).

b. The language invites the lack of standardizing action because so often it leaves procedures up to individual SIO's of individual agencies.

2. Some specific noteworthy paragraphs are:

Paragraph 8 (and paragraph 43) - calls for annual inspections of all contractor facilities. This impacts resources (hence will have to define inspection and probably call on joint efforts of OD&E and ISB).

Paragraph 11 B - calls for "uniform personnel criteria"... (we don't have).

Paragraph 12 - calls for annual revalidation of accesses (another resource problem and time consumer).

Paragraph 34 - looks like is back -- good! ✓

X MAYBE NOT "MINIMUM" CRITERIA. BUT NOT WORTH PARSING -

25X1

Paragraph 35 - people in this category -- the not told of CIA participation -- will become aware of CIA affiliation and/or employment of our people. ✓

X Paragraph 48 - loose. NO ALTERNATIVE LANGUAGE

Paragraph 49 - mushy language -- there should be a standard based on regulations or DCID's. ✓

Paragraph 51 - is this authority delegable? YES X


Paragraph 60 - two couriers -- not clear to me whether two-man rule can be waived. X

SEEMS TO
AUTHORIZE WAIVER
BY SIO IN SPECIFIC
CIRCUMSTANCES.

Paragraphs 71 and 72 - loose! REPRODUCTION. Paragraph 72 says all reproduction must be done by a contractor SO or assistant SO (again RESOURCES). ✓

Paragraph 73 - seems unrealistic -- ALL! X

Paragraph 95 - does this mean all contractor employees must be initially indoctrinated by a Government SO? If so, again a real resource problem. (In the old days we had difficult time keeping that rule on Phase III employees of industry which represented 5 to 10 percent.)



25X